

CENTER FOR FORFEITURE LAW

1629 K Street, Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20006

MONTGOMERY BLAIR SIBLEY
202-508-3699
202-478-0371 (E-FAX)
SIBLEY@CIVILFORFEITURE.COM

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE:
FLORIDA
NEW YORK
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

May 6, 2007

Via FedEx #8617-4631-8049
and email c/o AUSAs Daniel Pearce Butler, Catherine K. Connelly, William
Rakestraw Cowden

Alberto Gonzalez, Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Re: *Second Request for Appointment of Special Counsel*

Greetings:

I represent Deborah Jeanne Palfrey, a/k/a the "D.C. Madam" who (i) has been indicted by your office in a matter assigned Criminal Case Number: 07-046-GK and (ii) has had all her assets seized in a civil forfeiture matter in Case No.: 1:06-CV-01710-GK.

On March 1, 2007, FedEx delivered (Tracking #8581-1680-3504) to your office my request pursuant to 28 C.F.R. §600.1 for the appointment of a Special Counsel for the above matters. In that letter I indicated that "given the broad spectrum of customers [of the escort service] it is a mathematical certainty that in time [Justice] Department employees will be identified as customers of the service and subpoenaed in either the civil matter, the criminal matter, or both." To date, I have yet to receive a response to that letter.

In the interim, approximately 20% of the telephone records of the escort service were turned over to Brian Ross of *ABC News*. As you are doubtlessly aware, last Friday, Brian Ross, in the publically available transcript of his *20/20* report, is quoted as stating: "The phone records trace back to thousands of men, *including a career Justice Department prosecutor.*"

Moreover, consider the math: If 20% of the telephone records produced one "*career Justice Department prosecutor*", then 100% of the telephone records will very likely produce at least another four (4) "*career Justice Department prosecutors.*" Moreover, this discounts the possibility that if one *Akin/Gump* law firm employee was an escort for the service, it is as likely that your "law firm" has similarly situated employees who also worked as escorts for the service. Do not assume

Alberto Gonzalez, Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
May 6, 2007
Page 2

that the records seized from my client identified all of the women who worked for the service at one time or another.

Finally, please note that Brian Ross also reported that: “[t]here are NASA officials; at least five military officers, including the commander of an Air Force intelligence squadron” and “[t]he phone numbers also track back to Georgetown mansions and prominent CEOs, officials at the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund and **lobbyists both Republican and Democratic.**”

Given that the person who ordered an escort was not necessarily the person who received the services provided by the escort, the highlighted phrase ought to make you ponder the misogynist wisdom of prosecuting my client for a victimless crime when significant **men** of power are walking on crimes of much greater significance to the Republic. Moreover, given that pre-9/11, an appreciable part of the clientele of the service were Arabs, national security interests may also be at issue: *Profumo/Ivanov »Tobias?*

As of present, (i) *ABC News* received copies of 75% of the 2002-2006 telephone records of the escort service and (ii) confirming the recent *Boston Globe* report – a group of veteran investigative journalists associated with the *Washington Independent Writers Association* are researching the 1997-1999 telephone records of the escort service.

This limited distribution will change in seven (7) days and when it does: (i) the reporting will be much more extensive as all of the years of the escort service telephone records will be released and (ii) the “standards and practices” committees of the recipient organizations, journalists and internet bloggers who will receive these records have decidedly different professional standards than that of *ABC News*.

Thus, I must insist that you take the following steps:

1. To restore public confidence in your office, demand that Brian Ross identify to you that “*career Justice Department prosecutor*” and then you publically detail, what, if any, role that individual played in the investigation and prosecution of my client; and
2. Under 28 C.F.R. §600.1, undertake the immediate interview and appointment of a Special Counsel for the above matters.

My client is prepared to withhold further distribution of copies of the telephone records pending *confidential* settlement negotiations with yourself or your designee – other than the presently

Alberto Gonzalez, Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
May 6, 2007
Page 3

assigned AUSAs who are demonstratively not trustworthy in this regard – if these steps are taken and communicated to me by May 11, 2007.

In my mind, failure to do so will simply confirm to the world that your office wields its considerable power without thought or concern of the damaging consequences upon the public and the real public interests at issue.

Yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "M. Blawie" or similar, written in a cursive style.